CONVERGENCE OF AN ENERGY-PRESERVING SCHEME FOR THE ZAKHAROV EQUATIONS IN ONE SPACE DIMENSION

R. T. GLASSEY

ABSTRACT. An energy-preserving, linearly implicit finite difference scheme is presented for approximating solutions to the periodic Cauchy problem for the one-dimensional Zakharov system of two nonlinear partial differential equations. First-order convergence estimates are obtained in a standard "energy" norm in terms of the initial errors and the usual discretization errors.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [11] Zakharov introduced a system of equations to model the propagation of Langmuir waves in a plasma. If we denote by N(x,t) $(x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0)$ the deviation of the ion density from its equilibrium value, and by E(x,t) the envelope of the high-frequency electric field, then the one-dimensional system takes the form

(ZS.N)
$$N_{tt} - N_{xx} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (|E|^2).$$

We solve on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0\}$ and supplement (ZS) by prescribing initial values for E, N, and N_t :

(1)
$$E(x,0) = E^0(x), \quad N(x,0) = N^0(x), \quad N_t(x,0) = N^1(x).$$

Most of the interest to date in (ZS) stems from two particular features. Firstly, (ZS) admits solitary wave solutions [3]. Secondly, in three space dimensions, (ZS) was derived to model the collapse of caverns (cf. [11]). An intriguing and still unresolved question remains in three dimensions as to whether smooth data can generate a solution which becomes singular in *finite* time.

As is well known, (ZS) possesses the two formal invariants

(2)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E(x,t)|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E(x,0)|^2 dx,$$

(3)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(|E_x|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(|v|^2 + N^2) + N|E|^2 \right) dx = \text{const},$$

Received July 17, 1990; revised November 20, 1990. 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 65M12, 35L70. Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS 8721721.

> ©1992 American Mathematical Society 0025-5718/92 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

where v is given by

 $(4) v = -u_x, u_{xx} = N_t.$

We know that these are sufficient for global weak existence (cf. [9]). Also from [9] the same conclusion holds in three dimensions under an additional "smallness" condition. Moreover, higher-order estimates from [9] guarantee the existence of a *smooth solution* in one dimension provided smooth data are prescribed.

It is such a smooth solution of (ZS) with periodic boundary conditions which we approximate numerically in this paper. A spectral method is used in [5]; while practical results seem very good, the convergence issue is not rigorously addressed. Our algorithm uses an approximation of "Crank-Nicolson" type on the linear parts of (ZS). We approximate the solution over a fixed but arbitrary time interval $0 \le t \le T$.

The nonlinear terms in (ZS) are then approximated in such a way that:

- (i) the discrete L^2 -norm (over a period) of the approximation to E is conserved; and
- (ii) a discrete analogue of the total energy is conserved.

This discrete energy will be shown to be bounded below by a positive definite form. The scheme is linearly implicit and involves only two periodic tridiagonal solvers to advance one step in time. We obtain first-order convergence estimates in the natural "energy norm" in terms of initial errors and standard discretization errors.

In the references we list several papers where conservative schemes have been employed [2, 4, 6, 8]. Related results are to be found in [1, 10].

The standard summation by parts formula is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} v_j (u_{j+1} - 2u_j + u_{j-1}) = v_{J+1} (u_{J+1} - u_J) - v_1 (u_1 - u_0)$$
$$- \sum_{j=1}^{J} (v_{j+1} - v_j) (u_{j+1} - u_j).$$

The "summed" terms cancel whenever $\{u_k\}, \{v_k\}$ are *J*-periodic mesh functions.

Although [9] treats the Cauchy problem on all of space, the methods given there (i.e., Galerkin) could be extended to deal with the periodic case studied here. Constants depending on T and the Cauchy data are written c_T , while constants depending only on the data are generically written as c. These will change from line to line without explicit mention.

This scheme has been implemented; details will appear elsewhere.

2. The finite difference scheme

Let T > 0 be arbitrary; we will approximate the solution to the periodic Cauchy problem for (ZS) over the time interval $0 \le t \le T$. We first state hypotheses on the Cauchy data and the solution:

(H0) The Cauchy data

$$E(x, 0) = E^{0}(x), \quad N(x, 0) = N^{0}(x), \quad N_{t}(x, 0) = N^{1}(x)$$

are C^{∞} and *L*-periodic. Moreover,

$$\int_0^L N^1(x) dx = 0,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^J N^1(jh) = 0 \quad \text{for any } h > 0 \text{ with } Jh = L.$$

(HE) The periodic Cauchy problem possesses a unique smooth global solution.

In order to write the scheme, we define

(5')
$$\delta u_k \equiv \Delta x^{-1} (u_{k+1} - u_k),$$

(5")
$$\delta^2 u_k \equiv \Delta x^{-2} (u_{k+1} - 2u_k + u_{k-1}),$$

(6)
$$\lambda = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}, \qquad \beta = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$$

with Δt , $\Delta x > 0$. Now for J a positive integer we choose $\Delta x = \frac{L}{J}$, $\Delta t > 0$ such that

$$(7) n\Delta t \le T$$

and define $t^l = l\Delta t$, $x_j = j\Delta x$ (l = 0, ..., n; j = 0, ..., J). Our scheme is

(8.E)
$$i\frac{E_k^{n+1}-E_k^n}{\Delta t}+\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 E_k^n+\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 E_k^{n+1}=\frac{1}{4}(N_k^n+N_k^{n+1})(E_k^n+E_k^{n+1}),$$

(8.N)
$$\frac{N_k^{n+1} - 2N_k^n + N_k^{n-1}}{\Delta t^2} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 N_k^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 N_k^{n-1} = \delta^2 (|E_k^n|^2).$$

In both relations k = 1, ..., J, $n \ge 0$ in the first and $n \ge 1$ in the second. Here we take E_k^n , N_k^n to be *J*-periodic mesh functions, i.e.,

$$E_k^n = E_j^n$$
, $N_k^n = N_j^n$ if $k \equiv j \pmod{J}$.

The scheme is supplemented with the initial values

$$(9) E_k^0 = E^0(x_k),$$

(10)
$$N_k^0 = N^0(x_k), \qquad N_k^1 = N_k^0 + \Delta t N^1(x_k).$$

We claim that the scheme is uniquely solvable: multiplying (8.N) by Δt^2 , we see that the coefficient matrix for the unknown $\{N_k^{n+1}\}_{k=1}^J$, of order $J \times J$, is

(11)
$$A_N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \lambda^2 & -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \\ -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} & 1 + \lambda^2 & -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} & 1 + \lambda^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is invertible by Gerschgorin for any $\lambda > 0$. The coefficient matrix for the unknown $\{E_k^{n+1}\}_{k=1}^J$ has the form

(12)
$$iI - A_E$$
,

where both matrices are square and of order $J \times J$.

 A_E is symmetric and has the form

(13)
$$A_E = \begin{pmatrix} (A_E)_{11} & -\frac{\beta}{2} & 0 & \dots & -\frac{\beta}{2} \\ -\frac{\beta}{2} & (A_E)_{22} & -\frac{\beta}{2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{\beta}{2} & 0 & \dots & -\frac{\beta}{2} & (A_E)_{JJ} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

(14)
$$(A_E)_{kk} = \beta + \frac{\Delta t}{4} (N_k^n + N_k^{n+1}).$$

Since A_E has only real eigenvalues, $iI - A_E$ is invertible. Thus the scheme is uniquely solvable at each time step. Indeed, putting n = 0 in (8.E), we can solve for $\{E_k^1\}$, since N_k^0 , N_k^1 , E_k^0 are known from the data. Putting n = 1in (8.N), we can then solve for $\{N_k^2\}$ and, using $\{N_k^2\}$, we can put n = 1 in (8.E) and solve for $\{E_k^2\}$, etc.

We summarize with

Lemma 1. Assume the data satisfy (H0). Then the scheme (8.E), (8.N) is uniquely solvable at each time step.

Lemma 2. Let the data satisfy (H0). Define $\{u_k^n\}$ by

$$\frac{u_{k+1}^n - 2u_k^n + u_{k-1}^n}{\Delta x^2} = \frac{N_k^{n+1} - N_k^n}{\Delta t}, \qquad k = 1, \dots, J-1,$$
$$u_0 = u_J = 0.$$

Extend $\{u_k^n\}$ by defining

$$u_k^n = u_j^n$$
 if $k \equiv j \pmod{J}$.

Then

$$u_k^n = -\Delta x \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} G(x_k, x_j) \frac{N_j^{n+1} - N_j^n}{\Delta t},$$

where

$$G(x, y) = \begin{cases} x(1-\frac{y}{L}), & 0 \le x \le y \le L, \\ y(1-\frac{x}{L}), & 0 \le y \le x \le L. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof that the given representation is indeed a solution is a straightforward computation and is omitted. The only issue is one of compatibility. Summing the definition of u_k^n , we see that it is required that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{J} (N_k^{n+1} - N_k^n) = 0.$$

When n = 0, this is true by hypotheses (H0) and (10). Using (8.N), we can write

$$N_k^{n+1} - N_k^n = N_k^n - N_k^{n-1} + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \delta^2 (N_k^{n+1} + N_k^{n-1} + 2|E_k^n|^2)$$

Using induction, we sum both sides over k. The sum of the first two terms on the right vanishes by the induction hypothesis; the sum of the remaining terms vanishes by periodicity. \Box

Theorem 1. Let the data satisfy (H0). Then the scheme (8) possesses the following two invariants:

(a)

$$\sum_{k} |E_k^n|^2 \Delta x = \text{const} \qquad (n\Delta t \le T).$$

(b) Define
$$u_k^n$$
 as in Lemma 2, so that $\delta^2 u_k^n = (N_k^{n+1} - N_k^n)/\Delta t$. Then
 $\mathscr{E}_d^{n+1} \equiv \Delta x \sum_k \left[|\delta E_k^{n+1}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\delta u_k^n)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \{ (N_k^n)^2 + (N_k^{n+1})^2 \} + \frac{1}{2} (N_k^n + N_k^{n+1}) |E_k^{n+1}|^2 \right] = \text{const}$

for $n\Delta t \leq T$. The sums run over $1 \leq k \leq J$.

Thus the discrete L^2 -norm of E^n over a period is conserved, and the form of \mathscr{E}_d^n is similar to that for the exact solution in (2), (3). We show that \mathscr{E}_d^n is bounded below by a positive definite form. For this

purpose, we put

(15)
$$||E^n||_2^2 \equiv \sum_k |E_k^n|^2 \Delta x \,,$$

(16)
$$\|\delta E^n\|_2^2 \equiv \sum_k |\delta E_k^n|^2 \Delta x \,,$$

with similar quantities for N^n . We make note of the discrete Sobolev inequality

(17)
$$\sup_{k} |u_{k}| \leq c ||u||_{2}^{1/2} ||\delta u||_{2}^{1/2}$$

valid for periodic mesh functions $\{u_k\}$. Indeed, denoting the Fourier coefficients of the mesh function u by $\{c_m\}$, we write

$$|u_k| \le c \left(\sum_{|m| \le M} + \sum_{|m| > M} \right) |c_m|$$

$$\le c M^{1/2} \left(\sum_m |c_m|^2 \right)^{1/2} + c M^{-(1/2)} \left(\sum_m |m|^2 |c_m|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and optimize on M.

The last term \mathscr{L} in \mathscr{E}_d^n is estimable by

$$|\mathscr{L}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} |N_{k}^{n}| |E_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} |N_{k}^{n+1}| |E_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} \Delta x$$
$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sum_{k} \left((N_{k}^{n})^{2} + (N_{k}^{n+1})^{2} \right) \Delta x + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \sum_{k} |E_{k}^{n+1}|^{4} \Delta x$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, we get the bound

$$|\mathscr{L}| \leq \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k} \Delta x \left((N_k^n)^2 + (N_k^{n+1})^2 \right) + \|E^{n+1}\|_4^4.$$

By the Sobolev inequality (17) and part (a) of the theorem,

$$\begin{split} \|E^{n+1}\|_{4}^{4} &\leq c \|E^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} \|E^{n+1}\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq c \|E^{n+1}\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq c \|\delta E^{n+1}\|_{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\delta E^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + c. \end{split}$$

This gives us

Lemma 3. There is a constant c, depending only on the data, such that the solution of the discrete scheme (8.E), (8.N) satisfies

$$\sum_{k} \Delta x \left[|E_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} + |\delta E_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} + (\delta u_{k}^{n})^{2} + (N_{k}^{n})^{2} + (N_{k}^{n+1})^{2} \right] \leq c,$$

and hence $\sup_k |E_k^n| \leq c$.

Proof of Theorem 1. As is well known, part (a) is obtained by multiplying (8.E) by $\overline{E}_k^{n+1} + \overline{E}_k^n$, summing over k, k = 1, ..., J, and taking the imaginary part.

In order to verify (b), we multiply (8.*E*) by $\overline{E}_k^{n+1} - \overline{E}_k^n$ and sum on *k*. Adding this to its conjugate, we obtain

(18)
$$I_n + I_{n+1} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_k (N_k^{n+1} + N_k^n) \cdot 2\operatorname{Re}(E_k^{n+1} + E_k^n)(\overline{E}_k^{n+1} - \overline{E}_k^n),$$

where

$$I_m = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} (\overline{E}_k^{n+1} - \overline{E}_k^n) (E_{k+1}^m - 2E_k^m + E_{k-1}^m) \qquad (m = n, n+1).$$

The right side of (18) equals

(19)
$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k}(|E_{k}^{n+1}|^{2}-|E_{k}^{n}|^{2})(N_{k}^{n+1}+N_{k}^{n}).$$

Summing by parts, we get for the left side of (18)

(20)
$$I_n + I_{n+1} = -\frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \sum_k |E_{k+1}^{n+1} - E_k^{n+1}|^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \sum_k |E_{k+1}^n - E_k^n|^2.$$

Thus (19), (20) yield the identity

(21)
$$-\sum_{k} |\delta E_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} + \sum_{k} |\delta E_{k}^{n}|^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (|E_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} - |E_{k}^{n}|^{2})(N_{k}^{n+1} + N_{k}^{n}).$$

We obtain the contribution from $\{N_k^n\}$ by recalling from Lemma 2 that

(22)
$$\delta^2 u_k^n \equiv \frac{u_{k+1}^n - 2u_k^n + u_{k-1}^n}{\Delta x^2} = \frac{N_k^{n+1} - N_k^n}{\Delta t}$$

and by multiplying (8.N) by $\frac{1}{2}(u_k^n + u_k^{n-1})$ and then summing on k. There results

$$I - II = III,$$

where

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \frac{(N_{k}^{n+1} - 2N_{k}^{n} + N_{k}^{n-1})}{\Delta t^{2}} (u_{k}^{n} + u_{k}^{n-1}),$$

$$II = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k} \frac{(u_{k}^{n} + u_{k}^{n-1})}{\Delta x^{2}} [N_{k+1}^{n+1} - 2N_{k}^{n+1} + N_{k-1}^{n+1} + N_{k+1}^{n-1} - 2N_{k}^{n-1} + N_{k-1}^{n-1}],$$

$$III = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \frac{(u_{k}^{n} + u_{k}^{n-1})}{\Delta x^{2}} [|E_{k+1}^{n}|^{2} - 2|E_{k}^{n}|^{2} + |E_{k-1}^{n}|^{2}].$$

Term III is summed by parts:

$$III = -\frac{1}{2\Delta x^2} \sum_{k} \left[(u_{k+1}^n + u_{k+1}^{n-1}) - (u_k^n + u_k^{n-1}) \right] \left[|E_{k+1}^n|^2 - |E_k^n|^2 \right]$$

(24)
$$= -\frac{1}{2\Delta x^2} \sum_{k} \left[u_k^n + u_k^{n-1} - u_{k-1}^n - u_{k-1}^{n-1} \right] |E_k^n|^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\Delta x^2} \sum_{k} \left[u_{k+1}^n + u_{k+1}^{n-1} - u_k^n - u_{k-1}^{n-1} \right] |E_k^n|^2,$$

where we have shifted $k \rightarrow k - 1$ to obtain the first sum. Thus, by (22),

III =
$$\frac{1}{2\Delta x^2} \sum_{k} |E_k^n|^2 \left[(u_{k+1}^n - 2u_k^n + u_{k-1}^n) + (u_{k+1}^{n-1} - 2u_k^{n-1} + u_{k-1}^{n-1}) \right]$$

(25) = $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} |E_k^n|^2 \left[\frac{N_k^{n+1} - N_k^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{N_k^n - N_k^{n-1}}{\Delta t} \right]$
= $\frac{1}{2\Delta t} \sum_{k} |E_k^n|^2 (N_k^{n+1} - N_k^{n-1}).$

To evaluate I, we note that by (22)

$$\delta^2 u_k^n - \delta^2 u_k^{n-1} = \frac{N_k^{n+1} - N_k^n}{\Delta t} - \left(\frac{N_k^n - N_k^{n-1}}{\Delta t}\right) = \frac{N_k^{n+1} - 2N_k^n + N_k^{n-1}}{\Delta t}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{I} = \frac{1}{2\Delta t} \sum_{k} (u_{k}^{n} + u_{k}^{n-1}) [\delta^{2} u_{k}^{n} - \delta^{2} u_{k}^{n-1}]$$

and, summing this by parts, we get

(26)
$$\mathbf{I} = -\frac{1}{2\Delta t} \sum_{k} (\delta u_{k}^{n})^{2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta t} \sum_{k} (\delta u_{k}^{n-1})^{2}.$$

Summing II now by parts, we find

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{II} &= -\frac{1}{4\Delta x^2} \sum_{k} \left[(u_{k+1}^n + u_{k+1}^{n-1}) - (u_k^n + u_k^{n-1}) \right] \\ &\cdot \left[(N_{k+1}^{n+1} - N_k^{n+1}) + (N_{k+1}^{n-1} - N_k^{n-1}) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\Delta x^2} \sum_{k} \left[u_k^n + u_k^{n-1} - u_{k-1}^n - u_{k-1}^{n-1} \right] \left[N_k^{n+1} + N_k^{n-1} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\Delta x^2} \sum_{k} \left[u_{k+1}^n + u_{k+1}^{n-1} - u_k^n - u_k^{n-1} \right] \left[N_k^{n+1} + N_k^{n-1} \right], \end{split}$$

where we have again shifted $k \rightarrow k - 1$ to get the first sum. Thus, by (22),

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{II} &= \frac{1}{4\Delta x^2} \sum_{k} (N_k^{n+1} + N_k^{n-1}) \left[(u_{k+1}^n - 2u_k^n + u_{k-1}^n) + (u_{k+1}^{n-1} - 2u_k^{n-1} + u_{k-1}^{n-1}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k} (N_k^{n+1} + N_k^{n-1}) \left[\frac{N_k^{n+1} - N_k^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{N_k^n - N_k^{n-1}}{\Delta t} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4\Delta t} \sum_{k} \left[(N_k^{n+1})^2 - (N_k^{n-1})^2 \right]. \end{split}$$

Therefore, equation (23) yields

(27)
$$-\frac{1}{2\Delta t}\sum_{k}(\delta u_{k}^{n})^{2} - \frac{1}{4\Delta t}\sum_{k}(N_{k}^{n+1})^{2}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\Delta t}\sum_{k}(\delta u_{k}^{n-1})^{2} - \frac{1}{4\Delta t}\sum_{k}(N_{k}^{n-1})^{2}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2\Delta t}\sum_{k}|E_{k}^{n}|^{2}(N_{k}^{n+1} - N_{k}^{n-1}).$$

Now multiply this by Δt and add the result to (21) to get

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k}(\delta u_{k}^{n})^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k}(N_{k}^{n+1})^{2} - \sum_{k}|\delta E_{k}^{n+1}|^{2}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k}(\delta u_{k}^{n-1})^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k}(N_{k}^{n-1})^{2} - \sum_{k}|\delta E_{k}^{n}|^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k}\left[|E_{k}^{n}|^{2}(N_{k}^{n+1} - N_{k}^{n-1}) + (|E_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} - |E_{k}^{n}|^{2})(N_{k}^{n+1} + N_{k}^{n})\right].$$

The last term here equals

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k}|E_{k}^{n+1}|^{2}(N_{k}^{n+1}+N_{k}^{n})-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k}|E_{k}^{n}|^{2}(N_{k}^{n}+N_{k}^{n-1}).$$

Therefore, when we define \mathscr{E}_d^{n+1} as in part (b) of Theorem 1, (28) implies $\mathscr{E}_d^{n+1} = \mathscr{E}_d^n$ and hence $\mathscr{E}_d^n = \mathscr{E}_d^0$ and energy is conserved. \Box

In order to state the main theorem, we define the errors by

- (29)
- $e_k^n = E(x_k, t^n) E_k^n,$ $\eta_k^n = N(x_k, t^n) N_k^n.$ (30)

Here, E_k^n , N_k^n are computed from the scheme (8.*E*), (8.*N*) for $n\Delta t \leq T$, $1 \leq k \leq J$.

Lemma 4. Let the data satisfy (H0). Define $\{U_k^n\}$ by

(31)
$$\frac{U_{k+1}^n - 2U_k^n + U_{k-1}^n}{\Delta x^2} = \frac{\eta_k^{n+1} - \eta_k^n}{\Delta t}, \qquad k = 1, \dots, J-1, \\ U_0 = U_J = 0.$$

Extend $\{U_k^n\}$ by defining

$$U_k^n = U_j^n$$
 if $k \equiv j \mod J$.

Then

$$U_{k}^{n} = -\Delta x \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} G(x_{k}, x_{j}) \frac{\eta_{j}^{n+1} - \eta_{j}^{n}}{\Delta t},$$

where

(32)
$$G(x, y) = \begin{cases} x(1 - \frac{y}{L}), & 0 \le x \le y \le L, \\ y(1 - \frac{x}{L}), & 0 \le y \le x \le L. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The actual computation showing that the given representation is a solution is easy and is omitted. As in Lemma 2, there remains the compatibility question. Using the definition (30) of η_k^n , we have

$$\delta^2 U_k^n = \Delta t^{-1} [N(x_k, t^{n+1}) - N_k^{n+1} - N(x_k, t^n) + N_k^n]$$

= $-\delta^2 u_k^n + \Delta t^{-1} [N(x_k, t^{n+1}) - N(x_k, t^n)].$

Therefore, as in Lemma 2, we require that

$$S \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{J} [N(x_k, t^{n+1}) - N(x_k, t^n)] = 0.$$

We expand N(x, t) in a Fourier series with Fourier coefficients $\{c_m\}$:

$$N(x, t) = \sum_{m} c_{m}(t) \exp\left(\frac{2im\pi x}{L}\right).$$

Thus, $c_0(t)$ is proportional to $\int_0^L N(x, t) dx$. Integrating (ZS.N) over a period, we see that this integral is a linear function of t. In fact, $c_0(t)$ is *constant* in time in view of (H0). Now we write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{J} N(x_k, t) = \sum_{m} c_m(t) \sum_{k=1}^{J} \exp\left(\frac{2im\pi x_k}{L}\right)$$

and evaluate the inner sum explicitly. Using $x_k = k\Delta x = kL/J$, we see that this sum over k vanishes unless m = 0, in which case

$$\sum_{k=1}^{J} N(x_k, t) = Jc_0(t).$$

Hence S = 0 as desired. \Box

The norms are defined, e.g., as $||e^n||_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^J |e_k^n|^2 \Delta x$, etc.

Theorem 2. Let T > 0; assume (HE) and that the data satisfy (H0). Given any positive integer J, let $J\Delta x = L$ and choose $\Delta t = \Delta x$. Let E_k^n , N_k^n be computed from the scheme (8.E), (8.N), (9), (10) for $n\Delta t \leq T$. Define

(33)
$$\mathscr{E}^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\delta e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\delta U^{n}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\eta^{n}\|_{2}^{2}) \right].$$

(Thus, \mathscr{E}^n is the (square of the) "energy norm" of the errors.)

Then there exists a constant c_T depending only on the data and T, with the property that for Δx sufficiently small, we have

$$\mathscr{E}^n \leq c_T \left[\mathscr{E}^0 + \Delta x^2 \right].$$

Moreover, $\mathscr{E}^0 = O(\Delta x^2)$, and hence

$$\mathscr{E}^n \leq c_T \Delta x^2 \quad as \ \Delta x \to 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 will be given in the next section.

Remark. The choice $\Delta t = \Delta x$ allows us to easily combine several estimates. It is seen from the proof that the same estimates can be obtained provided Δt is bounded both above and below by a constant times Δx .

3. Convergence estimates, proof of the main theorem

We begin by defining the standard discretization errors

(34)

$$\tau_{k}^{n} = \frac{i}{\Delta t} \left(E(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) - E(x_{k}, t^{n}) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2\Delta x^{2}} \left(E(x_{k+1}, t^{n}) - 2E(x_{k}, t^{n}) + E(x_{k-1}, t^{n}) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2\Delta x^{2}} \left(E(x_{k+1}, t^{n+1}) - 2E(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) + E(x_{k-1}, t^{n+1}) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{4} \left(N(x_{k}, t^{n}) + N(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) \right) \left(E(x_{k}, t^{n}) + E(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) \right)$$

and

(35)

$$\sigma_{k}^{n} = \frac{1}{\Delta t^{2}} \left(N(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) - 2N(x_{k}, t^{n}) + N(x_{k}, t^{n-1}) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{2\Delta x^{2}} \left(N(x_{k+1}, t^{n+1}) - 2N(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) + N(x_{k-1}, t^{n+1}) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{2\Delta x^{2}} \left(N(x_{k+1}, t^{n-1}) - 2N(x_{k}, t^{n-1}) + N(x_{k-1}, t^{n-1}) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{\Delta x^{2}} \left(|E(x_{k+1}, t^{n})|^{2} - 2|E(x_{k}, t^{n})|^{2} + |E(x_{k-1}, t^{n})|^{2} \right).$$

As usual, these measure the amount by which the exact solutions fail to satisfy the approximate equations.

Recall that E, N are smooth solutions.

Lemma 5. We have $|\tau_k^n| + |\sigma_k^n| = O(\Delta t^2 + \Delta x^2)$ as $\Delta x, \Delta t \to 0$.

Proof. By Taylor's theorem and (ZS.E) we can write the first three terms τ_3

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{3} &= i \left(E_{t}(x_{k}, t^{n}) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t E_{tt}(x_{k}, \beta_{k}^{n}) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(E_{xx}(x_{k}, t^{n}) + O(\Delta x^{2}) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(E_{xx}(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) + O(\Delta x^{2}) \right) \quad (t^{n} < \beta_{k}^{n} < t^{n+1}) \end{aligned} \\ &= i E_{t}(x_{k}, t^{n}) + \frac{i \Delta t}{2} E_{tt}(x_{k}, \beta_{k}^{n}) + O(\Delta x^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[N(x_{k}, t^{n}) E(x_{k}, t^{n}) - i E_{t}(x_{k}, t^{n}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[N(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) E(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) - i E_{t}(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) \right] \end{aligned} \\ &= \frac{N(x_{k}, t^{n}) E(x_{k}, t^{n}) + N(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) E(x_{k}, t^{n+1})}{2} \\ &+ \frac{i \Delta t}{2} E_{tt}(x_{k}, \beta_{k}^{n}) + \frac{i}{2} \left[E_{t}(x_{k}, t^{n}) - E_{t}(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) \right] \\ &= \frac{N(x_{k}, t^{n}) E(x_{k}, t^{n}) + N(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) E(x_{k}, t^{n+1})}{2} \\ \end{aligned}$$

Now the result for τ_k^n will follow if

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(N(x_k, t^n) E(x_k, t^n) + N(x_k, t^{n+1}) E(x_k, t^{n+1}) \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(N(x_k, t^n) + N(x_k, t^{n+1}) \right) \left(E(x_k, t^n) + E(x_k, t^{n+1}) \right) = O(\Delta t^2 + \Delta x^2).$$

Simple algebra shows that this expression equals

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(E(x_k, t^{n+1}) - E(x_k, t^n) \right) \left(N(x_k, t^{n+1}) - N(x_k, t^n) \right),$$

and hence is $O(\Delta t^2)$. As for σ_k^n , we use Taylor's theorem again to write

$$\sigma_k^n = \left(N_{tt}(x_k, t^n) + O(\Delta t^2) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(N_{xx}(x_k, t^{n+1}) + O(\Delta x^2) \right) \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left(N_{xx}(x_k, t^{n-1}) + O(\Delta x^2) \right) - \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} |E(x_k, t^n)|^2 + O(\Delta x^2) \right).$$

The result follows from (ZS.N), since

$$N_{xx}(x_k, t^n) - \frac{1}{2} \left(N_{xx}(x_k, t^{n+1}) + N_{xx}(x_k, t^{n-1}) \right) = O(\Delta t^2). \quad \Box$$

Recall that the errors are defined by (29), (30). In order to obtain the error equations we subtract (8.E) from the definition (34) of τ_k^n to get

$$i\left(\frac{e_{k}^{n+1}-e_{k}^{n}}{\Delta t}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\delta^{2}e_{k}^{n}+\frac{1}{2}\delta^{2}e_{k}^{n+1}$$

$$=\tau_{k}^{n}+\frac{1}{4}[N(x_{k},t^{n})+N(x_{k},t^{n+1})][E(x_{k},t^{n})+E(x_{k},t^{n+1})]$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}[N_{k}^{n}+N_{k}^{n+1}][E_{k}^{n}+E_{k}^{n+1}]$$

$$=\tau_{k}^{n}+\frac{1}{4}[(\eta_{k}^{n}+\eta_{k}^{n+1})(E(x_{k},t^{n})+E(x_{k},t^{n+1}))$$

$$+(N_{k}^{n}+N_{k}^{n+1})(e_{k}^{n}+e_{k}^{n+1})].$$

Subtracting (8.N) from (35), the definition of σ_k^n , we get similarly

(37)
$$\frac{\eta_k^{n+1} - 2\eta_k^n + \eta_k^{n-1}}{\Delta t^2} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 \eta_k^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 \eta_k^{n-1} \\ = \sigma_k^n + \delta^2 (|E(x_k, t^n)|^2 - |E_k^n|^2).$$

In a sequence of lemmas we will derive energy estimates on e and η . Lemma 6 (L²-estimate of e). There are constants c, c_T such that for Δx , Δt sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 &\leq (1+c\Delta t)\|e^n\|_2^2 + c_T(\Delta t^2 + \Delta x^2)^2 \Delta t \\ &+ c\Delta t (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As in Theorem 1(a), we multiply (36) by $\bar{e}_k^{n+1} + \bar{e}_k^n$, sum on k, and take the imaginary part to get

,

$$(38) I + II = III + IV$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I} &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} (e_{k}^{n+1} - e_{k}^{n}) (\bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} + \bar{e}_{k}^{n}) = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \sum_{k} (|e_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} - |e_{k}^{n}|^{2}), \\ \mathbf{II} &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k} (\bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} + \bar{e}_{k}^{n}) (\delta^{2} e_{k}^{n+1} + \delta^{2} e_{k}^{n}), \\ \mathbf{III} &= \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k} (\bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} + \bar{e}_{k}^{n}) \tau_{k}^{n}, \\ \mathbf{IV} &= \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k} (\bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} + \bar{e}_{k}^{n}) [(\eta_{k}^{n} + \eta_{k}^{n+1}) (E(x_{k}, t^{n}) + E(x_{k}, t^{n+1}))], \end{split}$$

the last simplifying since N is real. All sums are taken over indices k with $1 \le k \le J$.

Term I is as desired. For III, we have from Lemma 5

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{III}| &\leq c \sum_{k} (|e_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} + |e_{k}^{n}|^{2}) + c \sum_{k} |\tau_{k}^{n}|^{2} \\ &\leq c \Delta x^{-1} (||e^{n+1}||_{2}^{2} + ||e^{n}||_{2}^{2}) + c_{T} (\Delta t^{2} + \Delta x^{2})^{2} \cdot J , \end{aligned}$$

and IV is easily estimable by

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{IV}| &\leq c \sup_{x, t \leq T} |E(x, t)| \cdot \sum_{k} \frac{(|e_{k}^{n+1}| + |e_{k}^{n}|) \Delta x^{1/2} \cdot (|\eta_{k}^{n+1}| + |\eta_{k}^{n}|) \Delta x^{1/2}}{\Delta x} \\ &\leq c \Delta x^{-1} [\|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|e^{n}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\eta^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\eta^{n}\|_{2}^{2}]. \end{aligned}$$

As before, term II vanishes upon summation by parts. Now we multiply (38) by $\Delta t \Delta x$ and use the bounds derived above to get

(39)
$$\|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|e^{n}\|_{2}^{2} + c\Delta t (\|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|e^{n}\|_{2}^{2}) + c_{T} (\Delta t^{2} + \Delta x^{2})^{2} \cdot J\Delta t\Delta x + c\Delta t (\|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|e^{n}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\eta^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\eta^{n}\|_{2}^{2}).$$

Thus, we have

(40)
$$(1 - c\Delta t) \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 \le (1 + c\Delta t) \|e^n\|_2^2 + c_T (\Delta t^2 + \Delta x^2)^2 \Delta t \\ + c\Delta t (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2),$$

and the result follows. \Box

When estimating the energy, we will need bounds on the discrete potentials u_k^n from Lemma 2 and U_k^n from Lemma 4.

Lemma 7. There is a constant c depending only on the data such that

$$\sup_{k} |u_k^n| \le c$$

Proof. We write, using the boundary condition $u_0^n = 0$,

$$|u_k^n| = \left|\sum_{j=1}^k (u_j^n - u_{j-1}^n)\right| = \left|\Delta x \sum_{j=1}^k \delta u_{j-1}^n\right| \le \|\delta u^n\|_2 (J\Delta x)^{1/2},$$

and this is bounded by Lemma 3 and the definition of J. \Box

Lemma 8. Let U_k^n be defined as in Lemma 4. There is a constant c such that

$$\sup_{k} |U_k^n| \le c(\mathscr{E}^n)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Lemma 7, but in the last step we use the definition of \mathscr{C}^n from Theorem 2. \Box

Lemma 9 (Energy of e). Let $h = \Delta t = \Delta x$, and define

$$II^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} \left(E(x_{k}, t^{n}) + E(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) \right) \left(\eta_{k}^{n+1} + \eta_{k}^{n} \right) \bar{e}_{k}^{n+1},$$

$$III^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (N_{k}^{n+1} + N_{k}^{n}) |e_{k}^{n+1}|^{2}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\delta e^n\|_2^2 + h(\mathrm{II}^{n-1} + \mathrm{III}^{n-1}) - (\frac{1}{2} \|\delta e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + h(\mathrm{II}^n + \mathrm{III}^n))$$

= $O[h(\mathcal{E}^n + \mathcal{E}^{n-1}) + h^3].$

Proof. As in Theorem 1(b), we multiply (36) by $(\bar{e}_k^{n+1} - \bar{e}_k^n)$, sum over k, $k = 1, \ldots, J$, add the result to its conjugate, and take the real part. There results the identity

$$\mathbf{I}_0 = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{II} + \mathbf{III},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{0} &= \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} (\bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} - \bar{e}_{k}^{n}) (\delta^{2} e_{k}^{n} + \delta^{2} e_{k}^{n+1}), \\ |\mathbf{I}| &= \left| 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} \tau_{k}^{n} (\bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} - \bar{e}_{k}^{n}) \right| \\ &\leq c_{T} h^{2} J^{1/2} h^{-1/2} (\|e^{n+1}\|_{2} + \|e^{n}\|_{2}) \leq c_{T} h(\mathscr{E}^{n} + \mathscr{E}^{n-1})^{1/2}, \\ \mathrm{II} &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} (\eta_{k}^{n+1} + \eta_{k}^{n}) (E(x_{k}, t^{n}) + E(x_{k}, t^{n+1})) (\bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} - \bar{e}_{k}^{n}), \\ \mathrm{III} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (N_{k}^{n} + N_{k}^{n+1}) (|e_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} - |e_{k}^{n}|^{2}). \end{split}$$

We sum I_0 by parts to get

(41)
$$\mathbf{I}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k |\delta e_k^n|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_k |\delta e_k^{n+1}|^2.$$

Next, we rewrite term III as

.

$$III = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left[(N_{k}^{n+1} + N_{k}^{n}) |e_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} - (N_{k}^{n} + N_{k}^{n-1}) |e_{k}^{n}|^{2} + (N_{k}^{n-1} - N_{k}^{n+1}) |e_{k}^{n}|^{2} \right]$$

$$\equiv III^{n} - III^{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (N_{k}^{n-1} - N_{k}^{n+1}) |e_{k}^{n}|^{2},$$

where

(42)
$$\operatorname{III}^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (N_{k}^{n+1} + N_{k}^{n}) |e_{k}^{n+1}|^{2}$$

Recall from the definition (Lemma 2) of u_k^n that

$$\delta^2 u_k^n = \frac{N_k^{n+1} - N_k^n}{h}.$$

Thus,

$$\delta^2(u_k^n+u_k^{n-1})=\frac{N_k^{n+1}-N_k^{n-1}}{h},$$

and therefore

III = IIIⁿ - IIIⁿ⁻¹ -
$$\frac{1}{2}h\sum_{k}|e_{k}^{n}|^{2}\delta^{2}(u_{k}^{n}+u_{k}^{n-1}).$$

We sum by parts to get for the last term the bound

$$O\left(h\sum_{k}|e_{k}^{n}||\delta e_{k}^{n}|(|\delta u_{k}^{n}|+|\delta u_{k}^{n-1}|)\right) = O(\|e^{n}\|_{\infty}\|\delta e^{n}\|_{2}(\|\delta u^{n}\|_{2}+\|\delta u^{n-1}\|_{2}))$$
$$= O(\|e^{n}\|_{2}^{1/2}\|\delta e^{n}\|_{2}^{3/2}),$$

where we have used Lemma 3. Hence,

(43)
$$\operatorname{III} = \operatorname{III}^{n} - \operatorname{III}^{n-1} + O(\mathscr{E}^{n-1}).$$

Consider now term II. For brevity we set

(44)
$$w_k^n = E(x_k, t^n) + E(x_k, t^{n+1}),$$

so that

$$w_k^n - w_k^{n-1} = E(x_k, t^{n+1}) - E(x_k, t^{n-1}) = O(h).$$

We write term II as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{II} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Re} \sum_{k} (\eta_{k}^{n+1} + \eta_{k}^{n}) w_{k}^{n} (\bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} - \bar{e}_{k}^{n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Re} \sum_{k} w_{k}^{n} \eta_{k}^{n+1} \bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Re} \sum_{k} w_{k}^{n-1} \eta_{k}^{n} \bar{e}_{k}^{n} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Re} \sum_{k} (w_{k}^{n} - w_{k}^{n-1}) \eta_{k}^{n} \bar{e}_{k}^{n} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Re} \sum_{k} w_{k}^{n} \eta_{k}^{n} \bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Re} \sum_{k} w_{k}^{n} \eta_{k}^{n+1} \bar{e}_{k}^{n}. \end{split}$$

Now we add and subtract the expression

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}\sum_{k}w_{k}^{n-1}\eta_{k}^{n-1}\bar{e}_{k}^{n}$$

and define

(45)
$$II^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} w_{k}^{n} \eta_{k}^{n+1} \bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} w_{k}^{n} \eta_{k}^{n} \bar{e}_{k}^{n+1}.$$

Then, using Lemma 4, we can write II as

(46)

$$II = II^{n} - II^{n-1} + O(\mathscr{E}^{n-1}) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} \bar{e}_{k}^{n} [(w_{k}^{n} - w_{k}^{n-1})\eta_{k}^{n+1} + w_{k}^{n-1}(\eta_{k}^{n+1} - \eta_{k}^{n-1})] = II^{n} - II^{n-1} + O(\mathscr{E}^{n-1}) + O((\mathscr{E}^{n-1})^{1/2}(\mathscr{E}^{n})^{1/2}) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} h \bar{e}_{k}^{n} w_{k}^{n-1} \delta^{2} (U_{k}^{n} + U_{k}^{n-1}).$$

We sum the last term here once by parts; it equals

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} h\delta(U_{k}^{n} + U_{k}^{n-1})(w_{k}^{n-1}\delta\bar{e}_{k}^{n} + \bar{e}_{k+1}^{n}\delta w_{k}^{n-1}) \\ &= O[(\|\delta U^{n}\|_{2} + \|\delta U^{n-1}\|_{2})(\|E(t^{n-1})\|_{\infty}\|\delta e^{n}\|_{2} + \|E_{x}(t^{n-1})\|_{\infty}\|e^{n}\|_{2})] \\ &= O((\mathscr{E}^{n} + \mathscr{E}^{n-1})). \end{split}$$

Using these estimates in (46), we have

(47)
$$\mathrm{II} = \mathrm{II}^n - \mathrm{II}^{n-1} + O[\mathscr{E}^n + \mathscr{E}^{n-1}].$$

Finally, we multiply the relation

$$I_0 = I + II + III$$

by h and use the estimates for each of these terms derived above to get

(48)
$$\frac{\frac{1}{2} \|\delta e^n\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\delta e^{n+1}\|_2^2 = O(h^3) + O[h(\mathscr{E}^n + \mathscr{E}^{n-1})] + \mathrm{II}^n h + \mathrm{III}^n h - \mathrm{II}^{n-1} h - \mathrm{III}^{n-1} h,$$

or

(49)
$$\frac{\frac{1}{2} \|\delta e^n\|_2^2 + h(\mathrm{II}^{n-1} + \mathrm{III}^{n-1}) - (\frac{1}{2} \|\delta e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + h(\mathrm{II}^n + \mathrm{III}^n))}{= O(h(\mathscr{E}^n + \mathscr{E}^{n-1}) + h^3),}$$

and this is the statement of Lemma 9. \Box

Lemma 10 (η -energy). Let $h = \Delta t = \Delta x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2} \|\delta U^n\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{4} (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \|\eta^n\|_2^2) + \frac{1}{2} \|\delta U^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\|\eta^n\|_2^2 + \|\eta^{n-1}\|_2^2) \\ &= O(h^5 + h(\mathscr{E}^n + \mathscr{E}^{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall from Lemma 4 the relation

$$\delta^2 U_k^n = rac{U_{k+1}^n - 2U_k^n + U_{k-1}^n}{h^2} = rac{\eta_k^{n+1} - \eta_k^n}{h}.$$

We multiply the η -equation (37) by $\frac{1}{2}(U_k^n + U_k^{n-1})$ and sum over k to get the identity

(50)
$$I_1 - I_2 - I_3 = I_4 + I_5$$
,

where

$$\begin{split} I_{1} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (U_{k}^{n} + U_{k}^{n-1}) \frac{(\eta_{k}^{n+1} - 2\eta_{k}^{n} + \eta_{k}^{n-1})}{h^{2}}, \\ I_{2} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k} (U_{k}^{n} + U_{k}^{n-1}) \delta^{2} \eta_{k}^{n-1}, \\ I_{3} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k} (U_{k}^{n} + U_{k}^{n-1}) \delta^{2} \eta_{k}^{n+1}, \\ I_{4} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \sigma_{k}^{n} (U_{k}^{n} + U_{k}^{n-1}) = O(h(\mathscr{E}^{n} + \mathscr{E}^{n-1})^{1/2}) \quad \text{(by Lemma 8)}, \\ I_{5} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (U_{k}^{n} + U_{k}^{n-1}) \delta^{2} \{ |E(x_{k}, t^{n})|^{2} - |E_{k}^{n}|^{2} \}. \end{split}$$

We sum $I_2 + I_3$ by parts, with the result

(51)
$$I_2 + I_3 = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_k \delta(\eta_k^{n+1} + \eta_k^{n-1}) \delta(U_k^n + U_k^{n-1}).$$

Expansion of this yields

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{1}{4h^2}\sum_k(\eta_{k+1}^{n+1}+\eta_{k+1}^{n-1}-\eta_k^{n+1}-\eta_k^{n-1})(U_{k+1}^n+U_{k+1}^{n-1}-U_k^n-U_k^{n-1})\\ &=-\frac{1}{4h^2}\sum_k(\eta_k^{n+1}+\eta_k^{n-1})(U_k^n+U_k^{n-1}-U_{k-1}^n-U_{k-1}^{n-1})\\ &+\frac{1}{4h^2}\sum_k(\eta_k^{n+1}+\eta_k^{n-1})(U_{k+1}^n+U_{k+1}^{n-1}-U_k^n-U_k^{n-1})\,, \end{split}$$

where we put $k \rightarrow k - 1$ to get the first sum. Thus,

$$\begin{split} I_2 + I_3 &= \frac{1}{4h^2} \sum_k (\eta_k^{n+1} + \eta_k^{n-1}) [U_{k+1}^n - 2U_k^n + U_{k-1}^n + U_{k+1}^{n-1} - 2U_k^{n-1} + U_{k-1}^{n-1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_k (\eta_k^{n+1} + \eta_k^{n-1}) [\delta^2 U_k^n + \delta^2 U_k^{n-1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{4h} \sum_k (\eta_k^{n+1} + \eta_k^{n-1}) [(\eta_k^{n+1} - \eta_k^n) + (\eta_k^n - \eta_k^{n-1})] \\ &= \frac{1}{4h} \sum_k ((\eta_k^{n+1})^2 - (\eta_k^{n-1})^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4h} \sum_k ((\eta_k^{n+1})^2 + (\eta_k^n)^2) - \frac{1}{4h} \sum_k ((\eta_k^n)^2 + (\eta_k^{n-1})^2). \end{split}$$

Term I_5 is summed once by parts, with the result

(52)
$$I_{5} = -\frac{1}{2h^{2}} \sum_{k} \left(U_{k+1}^{n} + U_{k+1}^{n-1} - U_{k}^{n} - U_{k}^{n-1} \right) \cdot \left(|E(x_{k+1}, t^{n})|^{2} - |E_{k+1}^{n}|^{2} - |E(x_{k}, t^{n})|^{2} + |E_{k}^{n}|^{2} \right),$$

and further expansion yields

$$I_{5} = -\frac{1}{2h} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} \left(\delta U_{k}^{n} + \delta U_{k}^{n-1} \right) \\ \cdot \left[\left(E(x_{k+1}, t^{n}) - E_{k+1}^{n} \right) \left(\overline{E}(x_{k+1}, t^{n}) + \overline{E}_{k+1}^{n} \right) \\ - \left(E(x_{k}, t^{n}) - E_{k}^{n} \right) \left(\overline{E}(x_{k}, t^{n}) + \overline{E}_{k}^{n} \right) \right] \\ = -\frac{1}{2h} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} \left(\delta U_{k}^{n} + \delta U_{k}^{n-1} \right) \\ \cdot \left[e_{k+1}^{n} \left(\overline{E}(x_{k+1}, t^{n}) + \overline{E}_{k+1}^{n} \right) - e_{k}^{n} \left(\overline{E}(x_{k}, t^{n}) + \overline{E}_{k}^{n} \right) \right] \\ (53) = -\frac{1}{2h} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} \left(\delta U_{k}^{n} + \delta U_{k}^{n-1} \right) \left[(e_{k+1}^{n} - e_{k}^{n}) \left(\overline{E}(x_{k+1}, t^{n}) + \overline{E}_{k+1}^{n} \right) \\ + e_{k}^{n} \left(\overline{E}(x_{k+1}, t^{n}) - \overline{E}(x_{k}, t^{n}) + \overline{E}_{k+1}^{n} - \overline{E}_{k}^{n} \right) \right] \\ = O \left(\sum_{k} (|\delta U_{k}^{n}| + |\delta U_{k}^{n-1}|) (|\delta e_{k}^{n}| + |e_{k}^{n}|(c_{T} + |\delta E_{k}^{n}|)) \right) \\ = O (h^{-1} (\mathscr{E}^{n} + \mathscr{E}^{n-1}) + h^{-1} ||e^{n}||_{\infty} (\mathscr{E}^{n} + \mathscr{E}^{n-1})^{1/2} ||\delta E^{n}||_{2}) \\ = O (h^{-1} (\mathscr{E}^{n} + \mathscr{E}^{n-1}))$$

by the Sobolev inequality applied to $||e^n||_{\infty}$. Lastly, for the term I_1 we note from (31) that

$$\delta^2 U_k^n - \delta^2 U_k^{n-1} = \frac{1}{h} \left(\eta_k^{n+1} - \eta_k^n - (\eta_k^n - \eta_k^{n-1}) \right) = \frac{\eta_k^{n+1} - 2\eta_k^n + \eta_k^{n-1}}{h},$$

and hence

(54)
$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2h} \sum_k (U_k^n + U_k^{n-1}) \delta^2 (U_k^n - U_k^{n-1}).$$

Summing by parts we get

(55)
$$I_{1} = -\frac{h^{-2}}{2h} \sum_{k} \left[U_{k+1}^{n} + U_{k+1}^{n-1} - U_{k}^{n} - U_{k}^{n-1} \right] \cdot \left[U_{k+1}^{n} - U_{k+1}^{n-1} - (U_{k}^{n} - U_{k}^{n-1}) \right].$$

This can be rewritten as

(56)
$$I_1 = -\frac{1}{2h} \sum_k \left[(\delta U_k^n)^2 - (\delta U_k^{n-1})^2 \right] = -\frac{1}{2h^2} \left[\|\delta U^n\|_2^2 - \|\delta U^{n-1}\|_2^2 \right].$$

Returning now to (50), we multiply it by h^2 to get

(57)
$$\begin{array}{r} -\frac{1}{2} \|\delta U^{n}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\delta U^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2} \\ -\frac{1}{4} (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\eta^{n}\|_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{4} (\|\eta^{n}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\eta^{n-1}\|_{2}^{2}) \\ = O(h(\mathscr{E}^{n} + \mathscr{E}^{n-1}) + h^{5}). \end{array}$$

This completes the proof. \Box

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us define $h = \Delta t = \Delta x$ and

(58)
$$H^{n-1} = \frac{1}{2} \|\delta e^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\delta U^{n-1}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\|\eta^n\|_2^2 + \|\eta^{n-1}\|_2^2).$$

Recall the definitions of the terms II^n , III^n from Lemma 9. Adding the conclusions of Lemmas 9 and 10, we get

(59)
$$H^{n} + h(II^{n} + III^{n}) = H^{n-1} + h(II^{n-1} + III^{n-1}) + O(h(\mathscr{E}^{n} + \mathscr{E}^{n-1}) + h^{3}),$$

where, from (33),

(60)
$$\mathscr{E}^n = \frac{1}{2} \| e^{n+1} \|_2^2 + H^n$$

Now, for a (large) positive constant γ (to be chosen below) set

(61)
$$\widehat{\mathscr{E}}^n \equiv \gamma \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + H^n + h(\mathrm{II}^n + \mathrm{III}^n).$$

From (59) and Lemma 6 it follows that

(62)
$$\widehat{\mathscr{E}}^{n} \leq \gamma(1+ch) \|e^{n}\|_{2}^{2} + \gamma c_{T} h^{5} + c\gamma h(\|\eta^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\eta^{n}\|_{2}^{2}) + H^{n-1} + h(\Pi^{n-1} + \Pi^{n-1}) + O(h(\mathscr{E}^{n} + \mathscr{E}^{n-1}) + h^{3}).$$

Now we estimate II^n , III^n easily by

(63)
$$h|\mathbf{II}^{n}| = \left| \frac{h}{2} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k} \left(E(x_{k}, t^{n}) + E(x_{k}, t^{n+1}) \right) (\eta_{k}^{n+1} + \eta_{k}^{n}) \bar{e}_{k}^{n+1} \right|$$
$$\leq c(\|E(t^{n})\|_{\infty} + \|E(t^{n+1})\|_{\infty}) \|\eta^{n+1} + \eta^{n}\|_{2} \|e^{n+1}\|_{2}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{16} (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\eta^{n}\|_{2}^{2}) + c\|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2}$$

(with a constant c depending only on the data), and

$$\begin{aligned} h|\text{III}^{n}| &\leq \left| \frac{h}{2} \sum_{k} (N_{k}^{n+1} + N_{k}^{n}) |e_{k}^{n+1}|^{2} \right| \\ &\leq c \|e^{n+1}\|_{\infty} \|N^{n+1} + N^{n}\|_{2} \|e^{n+1}\|_{2} \\ &\leq c (\|N^{n+1}\|_{2} + \|N^{n}\|_{2}) \|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{3/2} \|\delta e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

by the Sobolev inequality. Since the first factor is bounded by Lemma 3, we obtain

(64)
$$h|\mathrm{III}^n| \le \frac{1}{8} \|\delta e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + c\|e^{n+1}\|_2^2$$

with c depending only on the data. Adding (63) to (64), we obtain

(65)
$$h(|\mathrm{II}^{n}| + |\mathrm{III}^{n}|) \leq \frac{1}{8} \|\delta e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{16} (\|\eta^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \|\eta^{n}\|_{2}^{2}) + c \|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} H^{n} + c \|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2}$$

by the definition (58) of H^n . It follows that $\widehat{\mathscr{E}}^n$ is strictly positive for a sufficiently large choice of γ , depending only on the data.

In fact, we can choose γ large enough so that $\gamma > 1$ and

(66)
$$\widehat{\mathscr{C}}^n \ge \frac{c}{2} \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 + \frac{3}{4} H^n$$

with a constant c > 0 depending only on the data and on γ . Hence, from (62),

(67)
$$\widehat{\mathscr{E}}^n \leq \widehat{\mathscr{E}}^{n-1} + c_T \gamma h(\mathscr{E}^n + \mathscr{E}^{n-1}) + c_T \gamma h^3.$$

Now from its definition, we have, since $\gamma > 1$,

(68)
$$\mathscr{E}^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + H^{n} < \gamma \|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2} + H^{n}$$
$$= \widehat{\mathscr{E}}^{n} - h(\mathrm{II}^{n} + \mathrm{III}^{n}) \le \widehat{\mathscr{E}}^{n} + \frac{1}{4}H^{n} + c\|e^{n+1}\|_{2}^{2},$$

where we have used (65). Since $H^n \leq \mathscr{E}^n$ by (60), we conclude that

(69)
$$\frac{3}{4}\mathscr{E}^n \le \widehat{\mathscr{E}}^n + c \|e^{n+1}\|_2^2 \le c_{\gamma}\widehat{\mathscr{E}}^n$$

in view of (66). For any such (fixed) choice of γ , we obtain from (67)

$$(1-c_Th)\widehat{\mathscr{E}}^n \leq (1+c_Th)\widehat{\mathscr{E}}^{n-1}+c_Th^3.$$

It follows that for $h = \Delta t = \Delta x$ sufficiently small, depending only on T and the data, we have

$$\widehat{\mathscr{E}}^n \leq c_T[\widehat{\mathscr{E}}^0 + h^2]$$

Since $(\widehat{\mathscr{E}}^n)^{1/2}$ is equivalent to $(\mathscr{E}^n)^{1/2}$, the first part of the proof is complete. It remains to estimate \mathscr{E}^0 . From (29), (30) and (9), (10) we have

$$e_k^0 = 0$$
, $\eta_k^0 = 0$, $\eta_k^1 = O(h^2)$.

Thus, $\|\eta^1\|_2^2 + \|\eta^0\|_2^2 = O(h^4)$. From Lemma 6 with n = 0, $\|e^1\|_2^2 = O(h^5)$, and hence

$$\|\delta e^1\|_2^2 = h^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^J |e_{k+1}^1 - e_k^1|^2 \le 4h^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^J |e_k^1|^2 = O(h^3).$$

Finally, we bound $\|\delta U_k^n\|_2$. We multiply the definition of U_k^n by U_k^n , sum over k, and then sum by parts to get

$$\|\delta U^0\|_2^2 = -\sum_{k=1}^J U_k^0(\eta_k^1 - \eta_k^0) = \sum_{k=1}^{J-1} \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} G(x_k, x_j) \eta_k^1 \eta_j^1,$$

where we have used Lemma 4 again. Since G is continuous, it follows from general considerations (or from explicit computation, using $\eta_k^1 = O(h^2)$) that the last expression is $O(h^2)$, and this completes the proof. \Box

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. H. Added and S. Added, Equations of Langmuir turbulence and nonlinear Schrödinger equation: Smoothness and approximation, J. Funct. Anal. 79 (1988), 183-210.
- 2. M. Delfour, M. Fortin, and G. Payne, Finite difference solution of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Comput. Phys. 44 (1981), 277-288.
- 3. J. Gibbons, S. G. Thornhill, M. J. Wardrop, and D. Ter Harr, On the theory of Langmuir solitons, J. Plasma Phys. 17 (1977), 153-170.
- 4. R. Glassey and J. Schaeffer, Convergence of a second-order scheme for semilinear hyperbolic equations in 2+1 dimensions, Math. Comp. 56 (1991), 87-106.
- 5. G. L. Payne, D. R. Nicholson, and R. M. Downie, Numerical solution of the Zakharov equations, J. Comput. Phys. 50 (1983), 482-498.

R. T. GLASSEY

- 6. J. M. Sanz-Serna, Methods for the numerical solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Math. Comp. 43 (1984), 21–27.
- 7. S. Schochet and M. Weinstein, *The nonlinear Schrödinger limit of the Zakharov equations governing Langmuir turbulence*, Comm. Math. Phys. **106** (1986), 569-580.
- 8. W. Strauss and L. Vazquez, Numerical solution of a nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, J. Comput. Phys. 28 (1978), 271-278.
- 9. C. Sulem and P. L. Sulem, Regularity properties for the equations of Langmuir turbulence, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A Math. 289 (1979), 173-176.
- C. Sulem, P. L. Sulem, and H. Frisch, Tracing complex singularities with spectral methods, J. Comput. Phys. 50 (1983), 138-161.
- 11. V. E. Zakharov, Collapse of Langmuir waves, Soviet Phys. JETP 35 (1972), 908-912.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47405 *E-mail address*: glassey@ucs.indiana.edu